

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/964,581

Applicant(s)

OYASATO ET AL.

Examiner

Katarzyna Wyrozebski Lee

Art Unit

1714

All Participants:

(1) Katarzyna Wyrozebski Lee.

(2) Mr. Tom Blinka.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 14 August 2003

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-19

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted applicant's representatives about restriction. Group 1, claims 1-17 process claims, Group II claim 18 decomposition apparatus and Group III claim 19 control program for heating the thermosetting resin. Applicant's have elected group I with traverse..